national**grid**

5.23.2.1

Screening Matrices Document 23 – Appendix 1

National Grid (North Wales Connection Project)

Regulation 5(2)(g) of the Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009



North Wales Connection Project

Volume 5

Document 5.23.2.1 Appendix 1 Screening Matrices

i

National Grid National Grid House Warwick Technology Park Gallows Hill Warwick CV34 6DA

Final September 2018

North Wales Connection Project

Page left intentionally blank

		Docun	nent Control								
Document P	roperties										
Organisatior	ו	AECOM									
Author		John Bacon									
Approved by	/	Nigel Pilkingt	on								
Title		HRA – Apper	ndix 1: Screening Matrices								
Document R	eference	Document 5.23.2.1									
Version Hist	ory										
Date	Version	Status	Description/Changes								
September 2018	Rev A	Final	Final for submission								

Page left intentionally blank

Contents

1	Screening Matrices	1
1.1	Potential Effects	1
Stag	e 1 Screening Matrices	10
HRA	Screening Matrix 1: Corsydd Môn a Llyn/Anglesey and Llyn Fens Ramsar	13
HRA	Screening Matrix 2: Corsydd Môn/Anglesey Fens SAC	16
HRA	Screening Matrix 3: Y Fenai a Bae Conwy/Menai Strait and Conwy Bay SA	C 18
HRA	Screening Matrix 4: Eryri/Snowdonia SAC	19
HRA	Screening Matrix 5: Afon Gwyrfai a Llyn Cwellyn SAC	21
HRA SAC	Screening Matrix 6: Pen Llyn a'r Sarnau/Lleyn Peninsula and the Sarnau	22
HRA	Screening Matrix 7: Cardigan Bay SAC	23
HRA	Screening Matrix 8: North Anglesey Marine/Gogledd Môn Forol cSAC	24
HRA	Screening Matrix 9: West Wales Marine cSAC	25
HRA	Screening Matrix 10: Liverpool Bay/Bae Lerpwl SPA	26
HRA	Screening Matrix 11: Traeth Lafan/Lavan Sands, Conway Bay SPA	28
HRA	Screening Matrix 12: Ynys Seiriol/Puffin Island SPA	29
HRA	Screening Matrix 13: Dyfi Estuary SPA	30

۷

Page left intentionally blank

1 Screening Matrices

1.1 POTENTIAL EFFECTS

- 1.1.1 The Proposed Development has the potential to affect Natura 2000 sites through the following means:
 - Direct loss or fragmentation of habitat within a Natura 2000 site during the construction, maintenance and decommissioning of the Proposed Development;
 - Direct loss or fragmentation of supporting habitat during the construction, maintenance and decommissioning of the Proposed Development;
 - Injury or fatality of interest features through collision;
 - Disturbance/injury (noise, vibration, lighting, presence of personnel) and/or displacement of species during construction, maintenance and decommissioning;
 - Change in water quality through mobilisation of sediment and accidental contamination during the construction, maintenance and decommissioning of the Proposed Development;
 - Disturbance of contaminated soils releasing pollutants to surface and groundwater during the construction of the Proposed Development;
 - Release of drilling fluid during the construction of the Proposed Development (tunnel);
 - Temporary effects on the air quality/deposition during construction, maintenance and decommissioning of the Proposed Development;
 - Introduction of invasive non-native species (INNS) and diseases during the construction, maintenance and decommissioning of the Proposed Development;

.

- Alteration of hydrological regime (fluvial/groundwater) from construction, maintenance and decommissioning of the Proposed Development; and
- Disorientation of species due to the introduction of EMFs from the operation of the Proposed Development.
- 1.1.2 Table 1 below sets out the potential effects for each of the Natura 2000 sites considered at the screening stage and how they are set out within the screening matrices.

Potential effects upon the European Sites which are considered within the HRA Report (**Document 5.23**) are provided in the table below.

Table 1 Effects cons	idered in the Screening Matrices for each Natura 2000 site	
Site	Effects as described in HRA Report	Effects as described in the Screening Matrices
Corsydd Môn a Llyn/Anglesey and Llyn Fens Ramsar	 Direct loss or fragmentation of habitat within a Natura 2000 site during the construction, maintenance and decommissioning of the Proposed Development 	 Direct loss of habitat
	 Direct loss or fragmentation of habitat within a Natura 2000 site during the construction, maintenance and decommissioning of the Proposed Development 	 Direct loss of supporting habitat
	• Disturbance/injury (noise, vibration, lighting, presence of personnel) and/or displacement of species during construction, maintenance and decommissioning of the Proposed Development	 Disturbance/injury and/or displacement
	 Change in water quality through mobilisation of sediment and accidental contamination during the construction, maintenance and decommissioning of the Proposed Development. 	Water quality
	• Temporary effects on the air quality/deposition during construction, maintenance and decommissioning of the	Air quality

Table 1 Effects cons	idered in the Screening Matrices for each Natura 2000 site)
Site	Effects as described in HRA Report	Effects as described in the Screening Matrices
	Proposed Development	
	Introduction of invasive non-native species (INNS) and diseases during the construction, maintenance and decommissioning of the Proposed Development	 INNS/diseases
	Alteration of hydrological regime (fluvial/groundwater) from construction, maintenance and decommissioning of the Proposed Development	Hydrological regime
Corsydd Môn/Anglesey Fens SAC	• Direct loss or fragmentation of habitat within a Natura 2000 site during the construction, maintenance and decommissioning of the Proposed Development	Direct loss of habitat
	 Direct loss or fragmentation of habitat within a Natura 2000 site during the construction, maintenance and decommissioning of the Proposed Development 	 Direct loss of supporting habitat
	Disturbance/injury (noise, vibration, lighting, presence of personnel) and/or displacement of species during construction, maintenance and decommissioning of the Proposed Development	Disturbance/injury and/or displacement
	Change in water quality through mobilisation of sediment and accidental contamination during the construction, maintenance and decommissioning of the	Water quality

Table 1 Effects cons	idered in the Screening Matrices for each Natura 2000 site	
Site	Effects as described in HRA Report	Effects as described in the Screening Matrices
	Proposed Development.	
	 Temporary effects on the air quality/deposition during construction, maintenance and decommissioning of the Proposed Development 	Air quality
	 Introduction of invasive non-native species (INNS) and diseases during the construction, maintenance and decommissioning of the Proposed Development 	 INNS/diseases
	Alteration of hydrological regime (fluvial/groundwater) from construction, maintenance and decommissioning of the Proposed Development	Hydrological regime
Y Fenai a Bae Conwy/Menai Strait and Conwy Bay SAC	 Direct loss or fragmentation of habitat within a Natura 2000 site during the construction, maintenance and decommissioning of the Proposed Development 	Direct loss of habitat
	Change in water quality through mobilisation of sediment and accidental contamination during the construction, maintenance and decommissioning of the Proposed Development.	Water quality
	 Release of drilling fluid during the construction of the Proposed Development (tunnel) 	Drilling fluid

Table 1 Effects cons	idered in the Screening Matrices for each Natura 2000 site	
Site	Effects as described in HRA Report	Effects as described in the Screening Matrices
	 Introduction of invasive non-native species (INNS) and diseases during the construction, maintenance and decommissioning of the Proposed Development 	INNS/diseases
Bae Cemlyn/Cemlyn Bay SAC	This site has been screened out at Screening Stage 1bB as n Development and this site. Please refer to HRA Report Table	o potential effects exist between the Proposed 6.2. (Document 5.23).
Eryri/Snowdonia SAC	 Temporary effects on the air quality/deposition during construction, maintenance and decommissioning of the Proposed Development 	Air quality
Afon Gwyrfai a Llyn Cwellyn SAC	• Disturbance/injury (noise, vibration) and/or displacement during construction of the Proposed Development.	Disturbance/injury and/or displacement
	 Release of drilling fluid during the construction of the Proposed Development (tunnel) 	Drilling fluid
	• Disorientation of species due to the introduction of EMFs during operation of the Proposed Development.	• EMFs
Glannau Môn: Cors heli/Anglesey Coast: Saltmarsh SAC	This site has been screened out at Screening Stage 1bB as n Development and this site. Please refer to HRA Report Table	o potential effects exist between the Proposed 6.2. (Document 5.23).
Y Twyni o Abermenai i	This site has been screened out at Screening Stage 1bB as n Development and this site. Please refer to HRA Report Table	o potential effects exist between the Proposed e 6.2. (Document 5.23).

Table 1 Effects cons	idered in the Screening Matrices for each Natura 2000 site	
Site	Effects as described in HRA Report	Effects as described in the Screening Matrices
Aberffraw/ Abermenai to Aberffraw Dunes SAC		
Llyn Dinam SAC	This site has been screened out at Screening Stage 1bB as n Development and this site. Please refer to HRA Report Table	o potential effects exist between the Proposed 6.2. (Document 5.23).
Pen Llyn a'r Sarnau/Lleyn	• Disturbance/injury (noise, vibration) and/or displacement during construction of the Proposed Development.	 Disturbance/injury and/or displacement
Peninsula and the Sarnau SAC	• Disorientation of species due to the introduction of EMFs during operation of the Proposed Development.	• EMFs
Cardigan Bay SAC	 Disturbance/injury (noise, vibration) and/or displacement during construction of the Proposed Development. 	Disturbance/injury and/or displacement
	Disorientation of species due to the introduction of EMFs during operation of the Proposed Development.	• EMFs
North Anglesey Marine/Gogledd Môn	 Disturbance/injury (noise, vibration) and/or displacement during construction of the Proposed Development. 	Disturbance/injury and/or displacement
Forol cSAC	Disorientation of species due to the introduction of EMFs during operation of the Proposed Development.	• EMFs
West Wales Marine	• Disturbance/injury (noise, vibration) and/or displacement	Disturbance/injury and/or displacement

Table 1 Effects cons	idered in the Screening Matrices for each Natura 2000 site	
Site	Effects as described in HRA Report	Effects as described in the Screening Matrices
cSAC	during construction of the Proposed Development.	
	• Disorientation of species due to the introduction of EMFs during operation of the Proposed Development.	• EMFs
Anglesey Terns/Morwenoliaid Ynys Môn SPA (this has replaced the Ynys Feurig, Cemlyn Bay and The Skerries SPA)	This site has been screened out at Screening Stage 1bB as n Development and this site. Please refer to HRA Report Table	to potential effects exist between the Proposed e 6.2. (Document 5.23).
Liverpool Bay/Bae Lerpwl SPA	 Injury or fatality of interest features through collision 	Collision risk
Traeth Lafan/Lavan Sands, Conway Bay SPA	• Disturbance/injury (noise, vibration, lighting, presence of personnel) and/or displacement of species during construction, maintenance and decommissioning of the Proposed Development.	 Temporary disturbance and/or displacement
	Injury or fatality of interest features through collision	Collision Risk
Glannau Ynys Gybi/Holy Island Coast SPA	This site has been screened out at Screening Stage 1bB as n Development and this site. Please refer to HRA Report Table	no potential effects exist between the Proposed 6.2. (Document 5.23).

Table 1 Effects considered in the Screening Matrices for each Natura 2000 site													
Site	Effects as described in HRA Report	Effects as described in the Screening Matrices											
Ynys Seiriol/Puffin Island SPA	 Injury or fatality of interest features through collision 	Collision Risk											
Migneint Arenig Dduallt SPA	This site has been screened out at Screening Stage 1bB as n Development and this site. Please refer to HRA Report Table	o potential effects exist between the Proposed 6.2. (Document 5.23).											
Dyfi Estuary SPA	Injury or fatality of interest features through collision	Collision Risk											

STAGE 1 SCREENING MATRICES

The Screening Matrices below should be read in conjunction with the HRA Report (**Document 5.23**)

Screening Matrices have only been produced for those sites which have been screened at Screening Stage 1bB to have a pathway and therefore a potential for an effect with the Proposed Development.

The European sites included within the screening assessment are:

- Corsydd Môn/Anglesey Fens Ramsar;
- Corsydd Môn/Anglesey Fens SAC;
- Y Fenai a Bae Conwy/Menai Strait and Conwy Bay SAC;
- Eryri/Snowdonia SAC
- Afon Gwyrfai a Llyn Cwellyn SAC;
- Pen Llyn a'r Sarnau/Lleyn Peninsula and the Sarnau SAC;
- Cardigan Bay SAC;
- North Anglesey Marine/Gogledd Môn Forol cSAC;
- West Wales Marine cSAC;
- Liverpool Bay/Bae Lerpwl SPA (including proposed extension);
- Traeth Lafan/Lavan Sands, Conway Bay SPA;
- Ynys Seiriol/Puffin Island SPA; and
- Dyfi Estuary SPA.

Evidence for, or against, likely significant effects on the European sites and their qualifying features is detailed within the footnotes to the screening matrices below.

Matrix Key:

- ✓ = Likely significant effect **cannot** be excluded
- **x** = Likely significant effect can be excluded
- C= construction

O = operation (including maintenance)

D = decommissioning

Where effects are not relevant to a particular feature the matrix cell is formatted as follows:



Page left intentionally blank

HRA SCREENING MATRIX 1: CORSYDD MÔN A LLYN/ANGLESEY AND LLYN FENS RAMSAR

Screening Matrix 1: Corsydd Môn a Llyn/Anglesey and Llyn Fens Ramsar

EU Code: UK14005

Distance to NSIP: 0 km

European site features	Likel	y effec	ts of N	ISIP																				
Effect	Direct loss of habitat			Direc suppo	t loss o orting h	f abitat	Disturbance/ injury and/or displacement			Water quality			Air Quality			INNS	/ disea	ses	Hydro regim	ological e		In combination effects		
Stage of the Proposed Development	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Hard oligo-mesotrophic waters with benthic vegetation of Chara sp.	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)							✓ (b)	✓ (b)	√ (b)	✓ (c)	✓ (c)	✓ (c)	√ (d)	√ (d)	√ (d)	√ (e)	√ (e)	√ (e)	✓ (f)	✓ (f)	✓ (f)
Northern Atlantic wet heaths with <i>Erica tetralix</i>	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)							√ (b)	√ (b)	√ (b)	√ (c)	√ (c)	√ (c)	√ (d)	√ (d)	√ (d)	√ (e)	√ (e)	√ (e)	√ (f)	√ (f)	√ (f)
Molinia meadows on calcareous, peaty or clayey— silt-laden soils	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)							√ (b)	√ (b)	√ (b)	√ (c)	√ (c)	√ (c)	√ (d)	√ (d)	√ (d)	√ (e)	√ (e)	√ (e)	✓ (f)	√ (f)	√ (f)
Calcareous fens with <i>Cladium</i> <i>mariscus</i> and species of the <i>Caricion davallinae</i>	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)							√ (b)	√ (b)	√ (b)	√ (c)	√ (c)	√ (c)	√ (d)	√ (d)	√ (d)	√ (e)	√ (e)	√ (e)	✓ (f)	✓ (f)	✓ (f)
Alkaline fens	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)							√ (b)	√ (b)	√ (b)	√ (c)	√ (c)	√ (c)	√ (d)	√ (d)	√ (d)	√ (e)	√ (e)	√ (e)	√ (f)	√ (f)	√ (f)
Geyer's Whorl Snail				× (g)	× (g)	× (g)	× (h)	× (h)	× (h)	√ (b)	√ (b)	√ (b)	√ (c)	√ (c)	√ (c)	√ (d)	√ (d)	√ (d)	√ (e)	√ (e)	√ (e)	√ (f)	√ (f)	✓ (f)
Southern damselfly				× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (j)	× (j)	× (j)
Marsh fritillary butterfly				× (g)	× (g)	× (g)	× (h)	× (h)	× (h)	√ (b)	√ (b)	√ (b)	√ (c)	√ (c)	√ (c)	√ (d)	√ (d)	√ (d)	√ (e)	√ (e)	√ (e)	√ (f)	√ (f)	✓ (f)
Narrow-leaved Marsh-orchid	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)							√ (b)	✓ (b)	√ (b)	√ (c)	√ (c)	√ (c)	√ (d)	√ (d)	√ (d)	√ (e)	√ (e)	√ (e)	√ (f)	✓ (f)	✓ (f)
Slender cottongrass	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)							× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (j)	× (j)	× (j)
Compact stonewort	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)							√ (b)	✓ (b)	✓ (b)	√ (c)	√ (c)	√ (c)	√ (d)	√ (d)	✓ (d)	√ (e)	√ (e)	√ (e)	✓ (f)	✓ (f)	✓ (f)
Desmoulin's whorl snail				× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (j)	× (j)	× (j)
Ground beetle				× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (j)	× (j)	× (j)
Hornet robber fly				× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (k)	× (j)	× (j)	× (j)

Screening Matrix 1: Corsydd Mô	n a Lly	n/Ang	lesey a	and Lly	n Fens	s Ram	sar																		
EU Code: UK14005																									
Distance to NSIP: 0 km																									
European site features	Likel	Likely effects of NSIP																							
Effect	Direct habita	t loss c at	of	Direct	t loss o orting h	of Disturbance/ injury habitat and/or displacement				Water quality Air Quality						INNS	[/] disea	ses	Hydro regim	ological e		In combination effects			
Stage of the Proposed Development	С	0	D	C	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	
Soldier fly				× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (j)	× (j)	× (j)	
Parasitic fly				× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (j)	× (j)	× (j)	
Medicinal leech				× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (j)	× (j)	× (j)	
Otter				× (g)	× (g)	× (g)	× (I)	× (I)	× (I)	√ (b)	√ (b)	√ (b)	√ (c)	√ (c)	√ (c)	√ (d)	√ (d)	√ (d)	√ (e)	√ (e)	√ (e)	√ (f)	√ (f)	√ (f)	

(a) Field surveys and desk study data undertaken to support this assessment have not recorded this interest feature in proximity to the Proposed Development therefore no mechanism for a likely significant effect from direct habitat loss exists. Refer to Table 6.4 of the HRA Report (Document 5.23).

(b) Due to a hydrological link with the of the Proposed Development to the SAC at Cors Erddreiniog, there would be a potential mechanism for a likely significant effect and this feature has been taken through to stage 2. Refer to Table 6.4 of the HRA Report (**Document 5.23**).

(c) A potential mechanism for a likely significant effect exists from temporary effects associated with vehicle emissions and dust deposition therefore this feature has been taken through to stage 2. Refer to Table 6.4 of the HRA Report (Document 5.23).

(d) A potential mechanism for a likely significant effect exists from the introduction of INNS therefore this feature has been taken through to stage 2. Refer to Table 6.4 of the HRA Report (Document 5.23).

(e) Due to the proximity of the Proposed Development to the Ramsar site at Cors Erddreiniog there would be a potential mechanism for a likely significant effect for the temporary alteration in the fluvial/ hydrogeological regime (due to the proximity of 4AP051) therefore this feature has been taken through to stage 2. Refer to Table 6.4 of the HRA Report (**Document 5.23**).

(f) as there is a potential mechanism for a likely significant effect on this interest feature there is the potential for an in-combination effect which is assessed at stage 2.

(g) Field surveys and desk study data undertaken to support this assessment have not recorded this interest feature in proximity to the Proposed Development therefore no mechanism for a likely significant effect from direct supporting habitat loss exists. Refer to Table 6.4 of the HRA Report (**Document 5.23**).

(h) As this species is absent from the area subject to temporary disturbance there is no pathway, and no mechanism, for temporary disturbance and/or displacement. Refer to Table 6.4 of the HRA Report (Document 5.23).

(i) No pathway, and therefore no mechanism, for a likely significant effect exists for the Cors Erddreiniog part of the Ramsar site as the Proposed Development does not overlap with any of the management units identified within Cors Erddreiniog for this interest feature and as fields surveys and desk study data have not recorded this interest feature in the Drainage Areas. Refer to Table 6.4 of the HRA Report (**Document 5.23**).

(j) As there is no mechanism to effect this interest feature there is no potential for an in-combination effect.

(k) There would be no pathway, and no mechanism, to have a likely significant effect on this interest feature as it is associated with the inland Llyn Fens (Corsydd Llyn) Ramsar site, located approximately 37.9 km south-west of the Order Limits. Refer to Table 6.4 of the HRA Report (Document 5.23).

Screening Matrix 1: Corsydd Môn a Llyn/Anglesey and Llyn Fens Ramsar

EU Code: UK14005

Distance to NSIP: 0 km

European site features	Likely	Likely effects of NSIP																
Effect	Direct habita	Direct loss of habitat			Direct loss of supporting habitat			Disturbance/ injury and/or displacement			r qualit	у	Air Q	uality		INNS/ diseases		
Stage of the Proposed Development	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
(I) Due to the proximity of the Proper disturbance/injury and/or displacement	osed D nent du	evelop ring th	ment to e const	o the R truction	amsar n, main	site an tenance	d the t e and c	ransier decomr	nt nature mission	e of ott ing of t	ters a p the Pro	otentia posed	l mech Develc	anism pment	for pot . Refer	ential li to Tab	kely sig le 6.4 d	jnifica of the

	Hydro regime	logical e		In combination effects							
	С	0	D	С	0	D					
n H	t effect IRA Re	s from port (D	tempoi ocumo	rary ent 5.2	3).						

HRA SCREENING MATRIX 2: CORSYDD MÔN/ANGLESEY FENS SAC

Screening Matrix 2: Corsydd	I Môn/	Angles	ey Fens	SAC																				
EU Code: UK0012884																								
Distance to NSIP: 0 km																								
European site features	Likel	y effect	s of NS	SIP																				
Effect	Direct habita	t loss of at		Direct suppc	loss of orting ha	abitat	Distur and/o displa	bance/ r icement	injury	Water	quality	,	Air Qu	uality		INNS	′ diseas	es	Hydro	logical	regime	In con effects	nbinatio S	n
Stage of the Proposed Development	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Hard oligo-mesotrophic waters with benthic vegetation of Chara sp.	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)							√ (b)	✓ (b)	√ (b)	✓ (c)	✓ (c)	✓ (c)	√ (d)	√ (d)	√ (d)	√ (e)	√ (e)	√ (e)	√ (f)	√ (f)	√ (f)
Northern Atlantic wet heaths with <i>Erica tetralix</i>	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)							√ (b)	√ (b)	√ (b)	√ (c)	√ (c)	√ (c)	√ (d)	√ (d)	√ (d)	√ (e)	√ (e)	√ (e)	√ (f)	✓ (f)	√ (f)
Molinia meadows on calcareous, peaty or clayey— silt-laden soils	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)							√ (b)	√ (b)	√ (b)	✓ (c)	✓ (c)	✓ (c)	√ (d)	√ (d)	√ (d)	√ (e)	√ (e)	√ (e)	√ (f)	√ (f)	√ (f)
Calcareous fens with <i>Cladium mariscus</i> and species of the <i>Caricion davallinae</i>	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)							✓ (b)	✓ (b)	✓ (b)	✓ (c)	√ (c)	✓ (c)	√ (d)	√ (d)	√ (d)	√ (e)	√ (e)	√ (e)	✓ (f)	√ (f)	✓ (f)
Alkaline fens	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)							√ (b)	√ (b)	√ (b)	√ (c)	√ (c)	√ (c)	√ (d)	√ (d)	√ (d)	√ (e)	√ (e)	√ (e)	√ (f)	√ (f)	√ (f)
European Dry Heaths	× (m)	× (m)	× (m)							× (m)	× (m)	× (m)	× (m)	× (m)	× (m)	× (m)	× (m)	× (m)	× (m)	× (m)	× (m)	× (j)	× (j)	× (j)
Geyer's Whorl Snail				× (g)	× (g)	× (g)	× (h)	× (h)	× (h)	√ (b)	√ (b)	√ (b)	√ (c)	√ (c)	√ (c)	√ (d)	√ (d)	√ (d)	√ (e)	√ (e)	√ (e)	√ (f)	√ (f)	✓ (f)
Southern damselfly				× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	× (j)	× (j)	× (j)
Marsh fritillary butterfly				× (g)	× (g)	× (g)	× (h)	× (h)	× (h)	√ (b)	√ (b)	√ (b)	√ (c)	√ (c)	√ (c)	√ (d)	√ (d)	√ (d)	√ (e)	√ (e)	√ (e)	√ (f)	√ (f)	✓ (f)
Great Crested Newt				× (i)	× (i)	× (i)	✓ (I)	✓ (I)	✓ (I)	✓ (b)	√ (b)	✓ (b)	√ (c)	√ (c)	√ (c)	√ (d)	✓ (d)	✓ (d)	√ (e)	√ (e)	√ (e)	✓ (f)	✓ (f)	✓ (f)
Otter				× (g)	× (g)	× (g)	✓ (I)	✓ (I)	✓ (I)	√ (b)	√ (b)	✓ (b)	√ (c)	√ (c)	√ (c)	√ (d)	√ (d)	√ (d)	√ (e)	√ (e)	√ (e)	✓ (f)	✓ (f)	✓ (f)
(a) Field surveys and desk stu	dy data	a undert	aken to	suppo	rt this a	issessm	nent ha	ve not r	ecorde	d this ir	terest	feature	in proxi	imity to	the Pro	posed	Develo	pment t	herefor	e no m	echanis	sm for a	ı likely	

(a) Field surveys and desk study data undertaken to support this assessment have not recorded this interest significant effect from direct habitat loss exists. Refer to Table 6.4 of the HRA Report (**Document 5.23**).

Screening Matrix 2: Corsydd Môn/Anglesey Fens SAC

EU Code: UK0012884

Distance to NSIP: 0 km

European site features	Likely	/ effect	s of NS	SIP														
Effect	Direct habita	loss of It		Direct suppo	loss of orting ha	abitat	Disturl and/or displac	Disturbance/ injury and/or displacement		Water quality			Air Quality			INNS/ diseases		
Stage of the Proposed Development	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D

(b) Due to a hydrological link with the of the Proposed Development to the SAC at Cors Erddreiniog, there would be a potential mechanism for a likely signification through to stage 2. Refer to Table 6.4 of the HRA Report (**Document 5.23**).

(c) A potential mechanism for a likely significant effect exists from temporary effects associated with vehicle emissions and dust deposition therefore this feat to Table 6.4 of the HRA Report (**Document 5.23**).

(d) A potential mechanism for a likely significant effect exists from the introduction of INNS therefore this feature has been taken through to stage 2. Refer to **5.23**).

(e) Due to the proximity of the Proposed Development to the Ramsar site at Cors Erddreiniog there would be a potential mechanism for a likely significant eff hydrogeological regime (due to the proximity of 4AP051) therefore this feature has been taken through to stage 2. Refer to Table 6.4 of the HRA Report (**Do**

(f) as there is a potential mechanism for a likely significant effect on this interest feature there is the potential for an in-combination effect which is assessed

(g) Field surveys and desk study data undertaken to support this assessment have not recorded this interest feature in proximity to the Proposed Development significant effect from direct supporting habitat loss exists. Refer to Table 6.4 of the HRA Report (**Document 5.23**).

(h) As this species is absent from the area subject to temporary disturbance there is no pathway, and no mechanism, for temporary disturbance and/or displacement (Document 5.23).

(i) Due to the distance of these GCN ponds associated with this site from the Proposed Development, no mechanism for a likely significant effect from direct Table 6.4 of the HRA Report (**Document 5.23**).

(j) As there is no mechanism to effect this interest feature there is no potential for an in-combination effect.

(I) Due to the proximity of the Proposed Development to the SAC site and the transient nature of otters a potential mechanism for potential likely significant e and/or displacement during the construction, maintenance and decommissioning of the Proposed Development. Refer to Table 6.4 of the HRA Report (Docu

(m) This interest feature is only found in the Cors Goch SSSI section (approximately 880 m from the Proposed Development) of the SAC and is not transient management units and therefore no pathway, and no mechanism, exists for a likely significant effect. Refer to Table 6.4 of the HRA Report (**Document 5.2**)

	Hydrological regime In combination effects											
	С	0	D	С	0	D						
icant	effect	and this	s featur	e has b	een tak	en						
ture	has be	en take	n throu	gh to si	tage 2.	Refer						
о Та	ble 6.4	of the H	−RA Re	eport (D	ocume	nt						
fect ocun	for the nent 5. 2	tempor 23).	ary alte	ration i	n the flu	ıvial/						
at st	age 2.											
ent t	herefor	e no me	echanis	sm for a	likely							
acer	nent. R	efer to	Table 6	6.4 of th	e HRA							
loss	s of sup	porting	habitat	t exists.	Refer	to						
effec u me	ts from nt 5.23	tempor).	ary dis	turbanc	;e/injury	,						
t bey 3).	ond the	e bound	dary of t	the SSS	SI							

HRA SCREENING MATRIX 3: Y FENAI A BAE CONWY/MENAI STRAIT AND CONWY BAY SAC

Screening Matrix 3: Y Fenai a Bae Conwy/Menai Strait and Conwy Bay S	AC															
EU Code: UK0030202																
Distance to NSIP: 0km																
European site features	Likely	effects	s of NSI	P												
Effect	Direct	loss of	habitat	Water	quality		Drilling	g fluid		INNS/	disease	es	In com	combination effects		
Stage of the Proposed Development	С	ο	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	ο	D	С	0	D	
Sandbanks which are slightly covered by sea water all the time	× (a)			× (a)	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)			√ (c)			√ (b)			
Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide	√ (d)			× (e)	× (e)	× (e)	√ (f)			√ (c)			√ (b)			
Reefs	√ (d)			× (e)	× (e)	× (e)	√ (f)			√ (c)			√ (b)			
Large shallow inlets and bays	× (a)			× (a)	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)			√ (c)			√ (b)			
Submerged or partially submerged sea caves	× (a)			× (a)	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)			√ (c)			√ (b)			
(a) No pathway, and therefore no mechanism, exists for a likely significant eff HRA Report (Document 5.23).	ect upon	this inte	erest fea	ture due	to the c	distance	of the s	ite from	the Pro	posed D	evelopr	ment. Re	efer to Ta	able 6.4	of the	
(b) as there is a potential mechanism for a likely significant effect on this inter	est featu	re there	e is the p	otential	or an in	-combin	ation eff	ect whi	ch is as	sessed a	t stage	2.				
(c) There is the potential mechanism for the introduction of INNS and disease beneath the Menai Strait. Refer to Table 6.4 of the HRA Report (Document 5	es associa 5.23).	ated wit	h the int	roductio	n of boa	ts and b	ouoys foi	marine	e mamm	al and fis	sh mitig	ation du	ring tunn	elling a	ctivities	
(d) A potential mechanism for direct loss or fragmentation of habitat during co Order Limits. Refer to Table 6.4 of the HRA Report (Document 5.23).	onstructio	n of the	e Propos	ed Deve	lopment	t associa	ated with	the TE	BM blow-	-out exist	s as thi	s interes	t feature	e is withi	n the	
(e) This interest feature is approximately 1.4 km from the nearest hydrological pathway, and no mechanism, for a likely significant effect upon this interest fe	lly conne eature. Re	cted wo efer to ⊺	orks with Fable 6.4	the pote f of the H	ential to IRA Rej	cause c port (Do	hanges cument	in fluvia 5.23).	l water o	quality, d	ue to th	is distan	ce there	would	be no	
(f) A potential mechanism for effects from release of drilling fluid during const linked to favourable condition), is within the Order Limits. Refer to Table 6.4	ruction of of the HR	the Pro	oposed [ort (Docu	Developi u ment 5	ment exi . 23).	ists as tl	nis intere	est feati	ure (and	its asso	ciated b	oiological	commu	nity attri	ibutes	

HRA SCREENING MATRIX 4: ERYRI/SNOWDONIA SAC

Screening Matrix 4: Eryri/Snowdonia SAC						
EU Code: UK0012946						
Distance to NSIP: 2.5 km						
European site features	Likely eff	ects of NSIP				
Effect	Air Quality	/		In combin	ation effects	
Stage of the Proposed Development	С	0	D	с	0	D
Oligotrophic to mesotrophic standing waters with vegetation of the Littorelletea uniflorae and/or of the Isoëto- Nanojuncetea	✓ (a)	✓ (a)	√ (a)	√ (b)	√ (b)	√ (b)
Siliceous alpine and boreal grasslands	√ (a)	√ (a)	√ (a)	√ (b)	√ (b)	√ (b)
Hydrophilous tall herb fringe communities of plains and of the montane to alpine levels	✓ (a)	✓ (a)	✓ (a)	√ (b)	√ (b)	√ (b)
Siliceous scree of the montane to snow levels (Androsacetalia alpinae and Galeopsietalia ladani)	✓ (a)	√ (a)	✓ (a)	√ (b)	√ (b)	✓ (b)
Calcareous rocky slopes with chasmophytic vegetation	✓ (a)	√ (a)	✓ (a)	√ (b)	√ (b)	✓ (b)
Siliceous rocky slopes with chasmophytic vegetation	✓ (a)	√ (a)	✓ (a)	√ (b)	√ (b)	✓ (b)
Northern Atlantic wet heaths with Erica tetralix	✓ (a)	✓ (a)	✓ (a)	√ (b)	√ (b)	✓ (b)
European dry heaths	✓ (a)	✓ (a)	✓ (a)	√ (b)	√ (b)	✓ (b)
Alpine and Boreal heaths	✓ (a)	✓ (a)	✓ (a)	√ (b)	√ (b)	✓ (b)
Alpine and subalpine calcareous grasslands	✓ (a)	√ (a)	✓ (a)	√ (b)	√ (b)	✓ (b)
Species-rich <i>Nardus</i> grasslands, on <i>silicious</i> substrates in mountain areas (and submountain areas in Continental Europe)	✓ (a)	✓ (a)	✓ (a)	✓ (b)	✓ (b)	✓ (b)
Blanket bogs	✓ (a)	√ (a)	√ (a)	√ (b)	√ (b)	✓ (b)
Depressions on peat substrates of the Rhynchosporion	✓ (a)	✓ (a)	✓ (a)	√ (b)	√ (b)	✓ (b)
Petrifying springs with tufa formation (Cratoneurion) * Priority feature	✓ (a)	√ (a)	✓ (a)	√ (b)	√ (b)	✓ (b)
Alkaline fens	✓ (a)	✓ (a)	✓ (a)	√ (b)	√ (b)	✓ (b)
Alpine pioneer formations of the Caricion bicoloris-atrofuscae	✓ (a)	√ (a)	✓ (a)	√ (b)	√ (b)	✓ (b)
Old sessile oak woods with Ilex and Blechnum in the British Isles	√ (a)	√ (a)	√ (a)	√ (b)	√ (b)	√ (b)
Slender green feather-moss	✓ (a)	✓ (a)	✓ (a)	√ (b)	√ (b)	√ (b)

Screening Matrix 4: Eryri/Snowdonia SAC

EU Code: UK0012946

Distance to NSIP: 2.5 km				
European site features	Likely effects	s of NSIP		
Effect	Air Quality			
Stage of the Proposed Development	С	0	D	
Floating water-plantain	✓ (a)	✓ (a)	✓ (a)	

(a) As this site is within 10 km of an emergency generator during the construction of the Proposed Development there is the potential for a mechanism for efficient quality. Refer to Table 6.4 of the HRA Report (**Document 5.23**).

(b) as there is a potential mechanism for a likely significant effect on this interest feature there is the potential for an in-combination effect which is assessed

n combination effects									
)	0	D							
∕ (b)	√ (b)	√ (b)							
fect associate	ed with change	es in air							
at stage 2.									

HRA SCREENING MATRIX 5: AFON GWYRFAI A LLYN CWELLYN SAC

Screening Matrix 5: Afon Gwyrfai a Llyn Cwellyn SAC													
EU Code: UK0030046													
Distance to NSIP: 8.6km													
European site features	Likely	effects of	NSIP										
Effect Disturbance/ injury and/or displacement Drilling fluid EMFs									In combination effects				
Stage of the Proposed Development	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D	
Oligotrophic to mesotrophic standing waters with vegetation of the <i>Littorelletea uniflorae</i> and/or of the <i>Isoëto-Nanojuncetea</i>	× (a)			× (a)						× (b)			
Water courses of plain to montane levels with the Ranunculion fluitantis and Callitricho-Batrachion vegetation	× (a)			× (a)						× (b)			
Atlantic salmon	√ (c)			√ (d)				√ (e)		√ (f)	√ (f)		
Floating water-plantain	× (a)			× (a)						× (b)			
Otter	× (g)			× (h)						× (b)			

(a) No pathway, and therefore no mechanism, exists for a likely significant effect upon this interest feature due to the distance of the site from the Proposed Development. Please refer to Table 6.4 of the HRA Report (Document 5.23).

(b) As there is no mechanism to effect this interest feature there is no potential for an in-combination effect.

(c) There is a potential mechanism for effect as there could be disturbance to fish present in the Menai Strait from noise propagated into the water above during construction of the tunnel. This has the potential to affect individuals of Atlantic salmon in terms of disturbance or direct injury whilst on their migration route. Please refer to Table 6.4 of the HRA Report (**Document 5.23**).

(d) The release of drilling fluid has the potential to affect fish through the contamination of the water column therefore there would be a mechanism for a likely significant effect. Please refer to Table 6.4 of the HRA Report (**Document 5.23**).

(e) There could be disturbance of individuals of sensitive species from EMFs during operation. EMFs have the potential to disorientate fish such as Atlantic salmon on their migration routes. Please refer to Table 6.4 of the HRA Report (**Document 5.23**).

(f) as there is a potential mechanism for a likely significant effect on this interest feature there is the potential for an in-combination effect which is assessed at stage 2.

(g) Any otter foraging in the water in the intertidal zone would not be continually submerged as per marine mammal species and the likelihood of an otter being beneath the water at the time of any noise propagated into the water above during construction of the tunnel is extremely low, particularly given the very short blast duration therefore there is no potential for disturbance/injury and or displacement. Please refer to Table 6.4 of the HRA Report (**Document 5.23**).

(h) Otters are not sensitive to the release of drilling fluid from blowout therefore there would be no pathway, or mechanism, to result in a likely significant effect on otters associated with this SAC. Please refer to Table 6.4 of the HRA Report (**Document 5.23**).

HRA SCREENING MATRIX 6: PEN LLYN A'R SARNAU/LLEYN PENINSULA AND THE SARNAU SAC

Screening Matrix 6: Pen Llyn a'r Sarnau/Lleyn Peninsula and the Sa	arnau SAC					
EU Code: UK0013117						
Distance to NSIP: 36.7km						
European site features	Likely e	effects of N	ISIP			
Effect	Disturba displace	ance/ injury ement	and/or	EMFs		
Stage of the Proposed Development	C	0	D	С	0	D
Estuaries						
Coastal lagoons						
Large shallow inlets and bays						
Reefs						
Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide						
Salicornia and other annuals colonizing mud and sand						
Atlantic salt meadows						
Submerged or partially submerged sea caves						
Bottlenose dolphins	√ (a)				√ (b)	
Otter	× (d)					
Grey seal	√ (a)					

(a) There could be disturbance of individuals during construction. Noise and vibration has the potential to cause behavioural changes or, in more extreme cathere is a potential mechanism for effect. Please refer to Table 6.4 of the HRA Report (**Document 5.23**).

(b) There could be disorientation of individuals of sensitive species from EMFs during operation. EMFs have the potential to disorientate marine mammals such as bottlenose dolphin therefore there is a potential mechanism for effect. Please refer to Table 6.4 of the HRA Report (**Document 5.23**).

(c) as there is a potential mechanism for a likely significant effect on this interest feature there is the potential for an in-combination effect which is assessed at stage 2.(d) Therefore due to the distance (36.7 km) of this site from the Proposed Development no pathway, and therefore no mechanism, exists to affect this interest feature, associated with this SAC. Please refer to Table 6.4 of the HRA Report (Document 5.23).

(e) As there is no mechanism to effect this interest feature there is no potential for an in-combination effect.

combinat	ion effects	
	0	D
(c)	√ (c)	
(e)		
(c)		
	(c) (c) (c)	O I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I

HRA SCREENING MATRIX 7: CARDIGAN BAY SAC

Screening Matrix 7: Cardigan Bay SAC						
EU Code: UK0012712						
Distance to NSIP: 85.5 km						
European site features	Likely effec	cts of NSIP				
Effect	Disturbance displaceme	e/ injury and/ nt	or	EMFs		
Stage of the Proposed Development	С	0	D	С	0	D
Bottlenose dolphins	✓ (a)				√ (b)	
Reefs						
Submerged or partially submerged sea caves						
Sandbanks - slightly covered by seawater all the time						
Grey seal	✓ (a)					
River Lamprey	× (d)				× (d)	
Sea Lamprey	× (d)				× (d)	

(a) There could be disturbance of individuals during construction. Noise and vibration has the potential to cause behavioural changes or, in more extreme cases, damage to hearing therefore there is a potential mechanism for effect. Please refer to Table 6.4 of the HRA Report (**Document 5.23**).

(b) There could be disorientation of individuals of sensitive species from EMFs during operation. EMFs have the potential to disorientate marine mammals such as bottlenose dolphin therefore there is a potential mechanism for effect. Please refer to Table 6.4 of the HRA Report (**Document 5.23**).

(c) as there is a potential mechanism for a likely significant effect on this interest feature there is the potential for an in-combination effect which is assessed at stage 2.(d) No pathway, and therefore no mechanism, exists to affect this interest feature, associated with this SAC due to the distance of the Cardigan Bay SAC (85.5 km) from the Proposed Development. Please refer to Table 6.4 of the HRA Report (Document 5.23).

In combinat	ion effects	
С	0	D
√ (c)	√ (c)	
√ (c)	√ (c)	
× (b)	× (b)	
× (b)	× (b)	

HRA SCREENING MATRIX 8: NORTH ANGLESEY MARINE/GOGLEDD MÔN FOROL CSAC

Screening Matrix 8: North Anglesey Marine/Gogledd Môn Forol cSAC									
EU Code: UK0030398									
Distance to NSIP: 0.23 km									
European site features	Likely effects of NSIP								
Effect	Disturbance/ injury and/or displacement		EMFs	EMFs			In combination effects		
Stage of the Proposed Development	С	0	D	С	0	D	С	0	D
Harbour porpoise	√ (a)				√ (b)		√ (c)	√ (c)	
(a) There could be disturbance of individuals during construction. Noise and vibration has the potential to cause behavioural changes or, in more extreme cases, damage to hearing therefore there is a potential mechanism for effect. Please refer to Table 6.4 of the HRA Report (Document 5.23).									
(b) There could be disorientation of individuals of sensitive species from EMFs during operation. EMFs have the potential to disorientate marine mammals such as harbour porpoise therefore there is a potential mechanism for effect. Please refer to Table 6.4 of the HRA Report (Document 5.23).									
(c) as there is a potential mechanism for a likely signification	ant effect on t	his interest fea	ature there is the p	otential for a	in in-combination	effect which is	s assessed at sta	ge 2.	

HRA SCREENING MATRIX 9: WEST WALES MARINE CSAC

Screening Matrix 9: West Wales Marine cSAC							
EU Code: None (candidate site)							
Distance to NSIP: 36.7 km							
European site features	Likely effects of NSIP						
Effect	Disturbance/ injury and/or displacement			ent EMFs			Ir
Stage of the Proposed Development	С	ο	D	С	0	D	С
Harbour porpoise	✓ (a)				√ (b)		~

(a) There could be disturbance of individuals during construction. Noise and vibration has the potential to cause behavioural changes or, in more extreme cate is a potential mechanism for effect. Please refer to Table 6.4 of the HRA Report (**Document 5.23**).

(b) There could be disorientation of individuals of sensitive species from EMFs during operation. EMFs have the potential to disorientate marine mammals s is a potential mechanism for effect. Please refer to Table 6.4 of the HRA Report (**Document 5.23**).

(c) as there is a potential mechanism for a likely significant effect on this interest feature there is the potential for an in-combination effect which is assessed

n combination effects							
;	0	D					
⁄ (c)	√ (c)						
ases, damage to hearing therefore there							
such as harbour porpoise therefore there							
at stage 2.							

HRA SCREENING MATRIX 10: LIVERPOOL BAY/BAE LERPWL SPA

Screening Matrix 10: Liverpool Bay/Bae Lerpwl SPA								
EU Code: UK9020294								
Distance to NSIP: 5 km								
European site features	ropean site features Likely effects of NSIP							
Effect	Collision Ri	sk		In combina	tion effects			
Stage of the Proposed Development	С	0	D	С	0	D		
Little tern (Breeding)	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)	× (b)	× (b)	× (b)		
Common tern (Breeding)	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)	× (b)	× (b)	× (b)		
Red throated diver (North-western Europe) (Over Winter)	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)	× (b)	× (b)	× (b)		
Common scoter (European Population) (Over Winter)	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)	× (b)	× (b)	× (b)		
Little gull (Non-breeding)	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)	× (b)	× (b)	× (b)		
Internationally important waterbird assemblage of over 20,000 individuals: 69,687 components. Other species that contribute to the assemblage in numbers <1% of t	individuals (2004/05 - heir GB populations o	- 2010/11), all spe or <2,000 individua	ecies listed above als. These are list	plus cormorant a ed below.	nd red – breasted	l merganser as key		
Cormorant	√ (c)	√ (c)	√ (c)	√ (d)	√ (d)	√ (d)		
Red – breasted merganser	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)	× (b)	× (b)	× (b)		
Black-headed gull	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)	× (b)	× (b)	× (b)		
Common gull	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)	× (b)	× (b)	× (b)		
Common eider	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)	× (b)	× (b)	× (b)		
Fulmar	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)	× (b)	× (b)	× (b)		
Great black-backed gull	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)	× (b)	× (b)	× (b)		
Great crested grebe	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)	× (b)	× (b)	× (b)		
Guillemot	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)	× (b)	× (b)	× (b)		
Gannet	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)	× (b)	× (b)	× (b)		
Herring gull	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)	× (b)	× (b)	× (b)		
Kittiwake	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)	× (b)	× (b)	× (b)		
Lesser black-backed gull	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)	× (b)	× (b)	× (b)		

Screening Matrix 10: Liverpool Bay/Bae Lerpwl SPA							
EU Code: UK9020294							
Distance to NSIP: 5 km							
ropean site features Likely effects of NSIP							
Effect	Collision Risk In combination effects						
Stage of the Proposed Development	С	0	D	С	0	D	
Great northern diver	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)	× (b)	× (b)	× (b)	
Puffin	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)	× (b)	× (b)	× (b)	
Razorbill	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)	× (b)	× (b)	× (b)	
Shag	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)	× (b)	× (b)	× (b)	
Velvet scoter	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)	× (b)	× (b)	× (b)	
(a) There is no pathway, and therefore no mechanism, to result in a likely significant on thi	s interest feat	ure. Refer to Tab	le 6.4 of the HRA	Report (Docume	ent 5.23).		
(b) As there is no mechanism to effect this interest feature there is no potential for an in-combination effect.							
(c) Although cormorant is primarily a coastal species, birds are known to move inland to fe 25 km from breeding sites and that the mean foraging range of cormorant is 5.2 km. As the for cormorant associated with the Liverpool Bay SPA to encounter the OHL and a potential	ed on inland v e SPA, at its o l mechanism f	waters. Natural E closest point, is 5. for an effect. Refe	ngland reports tha .04 km to the clos er to Table 6.4 of	at cormorant have est point of the Oi the HRA Report (e a mean maximu der Limits, there Document 5.23).	m foraging range of is a limited potential	

(d) as there is a potential mechanism for a likely significant effect on this interest feature there is the potential for an in-combination effect which is assessed at stage 2.

HRA SCREENING MATRIX 11: TRAETH LAFAN/LAVAN SANDS, CONWAY BAY SPA

Screening Matrix 11: Traeth Lafan/Lavan Sands, Conway Bay SPA										
EU Code: UK9013031										
Distance to NSIP: 5.4km										
opean site features Likely effects of NSIP										
Effect	Tempora	Temporary disturbance			Collision Risk			In combination effects		
Stage of the Proposed Development	С	0	D	С	ο	D	С	0	D	
Oystercatcher (Over Winter)	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)	× (b)	× (b)	× (b)	
Red-breasted merganser (Over Winter)	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)	× (b)	× (b)	× (b)	
Eurasian curlew <i>(Over Winter)</i>	× (c)	× (c)	× (c)	× (c)	× (c)	× (c)	× (b)	× (b)	× (b)	
Great crested grebe (Non-breeding)	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)	× (b)	× (b)	× (b)	
Common redshank (Over Winter)	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)	× (a)	× (b)	× (b)	× (b)	
(a) There is no pathway, and therefore no mechanism, to res	ult in a likely significa	int on this inte	rest feature. F	Refer to Table	6.4 of the HR	A Report (Doc	ument 5.23).	i		

(**b**) As there is no mechanism to effect this interest feature there is no potential for an in-combination effect.

(c) Wintering curlew occurs regularly on land and/or inland freshwaters away from the SPA, so a functional link may exist between the SPA and regularly used terrestrial habitats. However curlew typically feed on coastal habitat including intertidal mudflats and grasslands within about 500m of the coast and very rarely more than 2.5km inland from coastal feeding areas. The closest regularly used terrestrial habitat to the SPA was on wet grasslands near Four Crosses, within 2km of the Menai Strait, however this is around 4.7km from the SPA. Curlew activity recorded inland where above ground infrastructure is proposed is therefore highly unlikely to be related to the SPA population. Therefore there would be no mechanism for the Proposed Development to result in a likely significant effect from disturbance and/or displacement or collision. Refer to Table 6.4 of the HRA Report (Document 5.23).

HRA SCREENING MATRIX 12: YNYS SEIRIOL/PUFFIN ISLAND SPA

Screening Matrix 12: Ynys Seiriol/Puffin Island SPA								
EU Code: UK9020285								
Distance to NSIP: 15.7 km								
European site features	Likely effects of NSIP							
Effect	Collision Risk			In combination	In combination effects			
Stage of the Proposed Development	С	0	D	С	0	D		
Cormorant (North-western Europe) (Breeding)	√ (a)	✓ (a)	✓ (a)	√ (b)	√ (b)	√ (b)		
(a) Although cormorant is primarily a coastal species, birds are known to move inland to feed on inland waters. Natural England reports that cormorant have a mean maximum foraging range of 25 km from breeding sites and that the mean foraging range of cormorant is 5.2 km. As the SPA, at its closest point, is 5.04 km to the closest point of the Order Limits, there is a limited potential for cormorant associated with the Liverpool Bay SPA to encounter the OHL and a potential mechanism for an effect. Refer to Table 6.4 of the HRA Report (Document 5.23).								

(b) as there is a potential mechanism for a likely significant effect on this interest feature there is the potential for an in-combination effect which is assessed at stage 2.

HRA SCREENING MATRIX 13: DYFI ESTUARY SPA

Screening Matrix 13: Dyfi Estuary SPA				
EU Code: UK9020284				
Distance to NSIP: 69.5 km				
European site features	Likely effe	ects of NSIP		
Effect	Collision Risk			In combinati
Stage of the Proposed Development	С	0	D	с
Regularly supports Greenland White-fronted Goose (Greenland/Ireland/UK) 1% of the GB population 5 year peak mean for 1993/94 - 1997/98 (Over Winter)	√ (a)	√ (a)	√ (a)	√ (b)
(a) White-fronted geese were recorded on only a single occasion during the ornithologica	I survevs wh	en a total of four w	hite-fronted aees	e (subspecies not s

(a) White-fronted geese were recorded on only a single occasion during the ornithological surveys when a total of four white-fronted geese (subspecies not s count at Llyn Alaw on 30th November 2016. There were no recorded flights for this species. As this species was recorded (albeit only once) at Llyn Alaw the Refer to Table 6.4 of the HRA Report (**Document 5.23**).

(b) as there is a potential mechanism for a likely significant effect on this interest feature there is the potential for an in-combination effect which is assessed

ion ef	fects				
	0	D			
	✓ (b)	✓ (b)			
specified) were recorded during a dusk here is a potential mechanism for effect.					
at sta	age 2.				